

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,722	10/30/2003	Patrick R. Lancaster III	02906.0346	6330
22852 7	590 05/18/2006		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			PARADISO, JOHN ROGER	
LLP 901 NEW YOF	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			3721	
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/18/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/696,722	LANCASTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John R. Paradiso	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-38,40-47,58,59,76-82,84,86-89 and	133-136 is/are pending in the ap	plication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-38,40-47,58,59,76-82,84,86-89 and</u>	<u>133-136</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	***				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	,				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•	d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	0.			

Attachment(s)

 Notice of References Cited (PTO-89)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-38, 40-47, 58, 59, 76-82, 84, 86-89, and 133-136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over JAMES ET AL in view of BELL (US 4049130).

JAMES ET AL discloses a method and apparatus for dispensing pallets in which pallets are stored in a magazine (P) and lifted up from a bottommost pallet, the bottommost pallet being supported by a plate. The bottommost pallet is moved from under the magazine by pusher lugs through the gap created (121) and conveyed on rollers (19). (See JAMES ET AL column 3:62-4:38 and Fig. 1-2). The pusher lugs are mounted on a conveyor which is moved by a motor.

Examiner notes that a cantilever can be considered as a large bracket, held rigidly at one end. The claimed "cantilevered pusher bar" is being read on the pusher lugs of JAMES ET AL, since they are held rigidly on the end secured to the conveyor and extend outward to engage the pallet.

JAMES ET AL does not specifically disclose means for adjusting the size of an interior of the pallet magazine, nor does it disclose the pusher being spaced above the platform surface.

BELL discloses a method and apparatus for dispensing flat objects (PB) from the bottom of a stack (S). The stack rests on a work surface (16) and the lowermost objects are pushed by a

pusher (22) that is in turn moved by a pneumatic arm (26). The pusher (22) is spaced above the work surface.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of JAMES ET AL by adding the pusher of BELL in order to achieve the greater pushing force made possible by a pneumatic pusher.

Regarding claim 4, 24-26, 54-56, Fig. 2 of JAMES ET AL shows that the pusher lugs move from horizontal to vertical and back repeatedly.

Regarding claim 5, the pusher lugs of JAMES ET AL move from the rear of the pallet to the front and therefore from the rear gap created by the raising of the other pallets to the front gap, which was created the same way.

Regarding claim 18 and 31, sensors (not shown) provide input to a programmable logic controller (25) to sense jams and stop the machine as appropriate if a jam occurs (see column 14:14-38).

Regarding claim 13, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the pallet magazine size of JAMES ET AL adjustable by adding a means to adjust the size of an interior of the pallet magazine in order to accommodate different commercial sizes of pallets, since it has been held that the provision of adjustability, where needed, involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Stevens*, 101 USPQ 284 (CCPA 1954).

Regarding claims 79 and 136, in the previous Office Action, Applicant was given Official Notice that the use of vibratory motion to reduce the incidence of snags between parts that move in proximity to one another is quite well known in the packaging art and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide for a vibratory motion to the pallets to reduce the incidence of snags as one of the pallets is pulled from the stack. Since Applicant does not disagree with this point, it will hereafter be referred to as admitted prior art.

Regarding claims 80 and 133, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to load the pallets and wrap the load as claimed, holding loads is the primary function of pallets and wrapping loads is well known in the art.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 2/17/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. Applicant states on page 17 of his Response that "claim 1 has been amended to recite ... ' the pusher bar having a pallet contacting portion that is vertically spaced away from the platform ... ' .. This limitation is neither disclosed or suggested by James."

However, this argument is rendered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection above.

Art Unit: 3721

5. Applicant states on page 18 of his Response that "claims 80 and 133 each recite, ... 'building a load on the dispensed pallet; providing relative rotation between a packaging material dispenser and the load to wrap packaging material around the load."

However, this was implied in JAMES ET AL and is more specifically addressed in the rejection above: it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to load the pallets and wrap the load as claimed, holding loads is the primary function of pallets and wrapping loads is well known in the art.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,722

Art Unit: 3721

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Examiner John Paradiso: (703) 308-2825

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada: (703) 308-2187 Fax (directly to Examiner) (703) 746-3253 Fax (Official): (571) 273-8300 May 11, 2006